# Party Politics, Hate Speech, and the Media: Perspective of Nigeria

**Dr. Heavens Ugochukwu Obasi[1*], Dr. Chijioke John Ikpeama[2]**

[*1]Department of Mass Communication, Abia State University, Uturu, Abia State, Nigeria

[2] Department of Mass Communication, Clifford University, Abia State, Nigeria

**Corresponding Author:** **Dr. Heavens Ugochukwu Obasi**

Department of Mass Communication, Abia State University, Uturu, Abia State, Nigeria

**Abstract:** This research investigates the multifaceted relationship between party politics, hate speech, and the media within Nigeria's democratic framework, particularly from 2016-2023. It examines how the country's diverse ethnic and religious landscape, coupled with a competitive party system, creates an environment where hate speech can thrive, especially during election periods. The study analyzes the media's dual role as both a potential amplifier and mitigator of hate speech, considering the impact of traditional and social media platforms. It explores how partisanship and the lack of bipartisanship contribute to the problem, while political campaigns often utilize inflammatory language to mobilize voters. Ultimately, this research highlights the urgent need for strategies that promote tolerance, responsible media practices, and the safeguarding of democratic values to counter the detrimental effects of hate speech on Nigerian society. In conclusion, this research underscores the critical interplay between party politics, hate speech, and the media in Nigeria's democratic context, particularly during 2016-2023. Recommendations include stricter enforcement of anti-hate speech laws balanced with freedom of expression, ethical guidelines for media organizations, media literacy programs, political party commitment to civil discourse, and fostering bipartisanship.

**Keywords:** *Hate Speech, Party Politics, Democracy, Nigeria, The Media.*

## Introduction

### Elections as the Key Component of Democracy

Elections are the bedrock of democracy, serving as the mechanism through which citizens express their political preferences and hold leaders accountable. In Nigeria, a country characterized by its diverse ethnic and religious identities, elections play a critical role in fostering political participation and civic engagement. Scholars such as Diamond (2016) argue that regular free and fair elections are essential to democratic consolidation, as they enable citizens to select representatives and influence policy decisions. The electoral process, however, is often marred by challenges such as electoral violence, vote-buying, and hate speech, which undermine the integrity of democratic institutions (Böth & Hillebrecht, 2020).

Election periods in Nigeria have frequently been associated with heightened political tensions and divisive rhetoric. The potential for hate speech to exacerbate these tensions raises significant concerns about its impact on electoral outcomes and social cohesion. In recent elections, political actors have increasingly resorted to incendiary language to sway public opinion and demonize opponents, often exploiting existing societal fractures along ethnic and religious lines (Ekwoaba, 2022). This context necessitates a deeper exploration of the intersections between electioneering processes and the prevalence of hate speech, especially in a vibrant media landscape that can amplify or mitigate its effects.

### Politics in a Democracy

Politics in a democracy encompasses the mechanisms through which power is acquired, exercised, and contested. Political actors, including parties, candidates, and civil society organizations, engage in a continuous struggle for influence, often articulating distinct visions for governance and policy (Levine, 2021). In Nigeria, the political landscape is characterized by a multitude of parties that reflect the country's diverse interests, yet this pluralism is often accompanied by a collective tendency toward volatility and conflict (Oladipo, 2018). The complexity of Nigerian politics is further complicated by issues of governance, corruption, and the challenge of inclusivity in decision-making processes.

The interplay between politics and the media is particularly salient in this context, as the media serves as both a platform for political discourse and a watchdog for government accountability (Oso, 2019). The influence of mass media in shaping public perception and the political narrative cannot be understated, making it a powerful tool for both promoting democratic ideals and perpetuating negative sentiments such as hate speech.

### Party System in a Democracy

The party system in a democracy is foundational to the functioning of political life and is indicative of the broader political culture. In Nigeria, the party system has evolved through various phases, reflecting historical antecedents and socio-political dynamics. The contemporary Nigerian party system is characterized by a predominance of two major political parties, the All Progressives Congress (APC) and the People's Democratic Party (PDP), which dominate the political arena (Ibeanu, 2020). This two-party dominance, however, coexists with smaller parties that seek to disrupt the traditional order, often leading to coalition-building and political maneuvering.

Party systems significantly influence electoral outcomes and voter behavior. The nature of party competition can either promote healthy political discourse or exacerbate divisions. In this respect, the rise of hate speech within the context of party politics raises pertinent questions regarding electoral integrity and the health of Nigeria's democracy. Political parties, as they seek to mobilize voters, may find themselves resorting to divisive rhetoric that undermines democratic ideals (Falola, 2021).

## Party Politics

Party politics involves the activities and strategies employed by political parties to win elections and secure power. In Nigeria, party politics has been fraught with challenges, including internal conflicts, factionalism, and the influence of money in politics. The competitive nature of party politics can lead to the emergence of negative campaigns and hate speech as parties seek to undermine opponents rather than engage in substantive policy debates. This can detract from the quality of political discourse, resulting in an environment where incendiary rhetoric becomes normalized (Akinwumi, 2023).

During election cycles, party politics in Nigeria often takes on a performative aspect, with politicians utilizing public rallies, social media, and traditional media to convey their messages. The role of media in amplifying party political narratives cannot be overstated, as it often shapes public perceptions and influences voter engagement (Ugochukwu & Sadiq, 2022). The dynamics of party politics, especially in relation to hate speech, necessitate a critical examination of how political narratives are constructed and disseminated.

## Partisanship

Partisanship is characterized by strong allegiance to one's own political party and a reluctance to collaborate with those from opposing parties. In Nigeria, partisanship often extends beyond mere political preference; it reflects deep-seated societal divisions along ethnic and religious lines (Ogbonna, 2021). This entrenched partisanship exacerbates polarization and promotes a culture of hostility, where political loyalty is prioritized over shared national interests.

The implications of such partisanship for political discourse are significant. Partisan individuals and groups may resort to hate speech as a means of reinforcing their identities and delegitimizing opponents. The media plays a crucial role in either mitigating or exacerbating these tensions, as it is often complicit in sensationalizing partisan rhetoric, thereby facilitating the spread of hate speech during election seasons (Oyelowo, 2022).

## Bipartisanship

Bipartisanship, or cooperation between two major political parties, is essential for effective governance and political stability. In an ideal scenario, bipartisanship fosters dialogue, facilitates compromise, and mitigates extreme partisan divisions. However, in Nigeria, the entrenched nature of party rivalry has made bipartisanship elusive, often resulting in gridlock and a lack of progress on key national issues (Nwankwo, 2022).

The absence of bipartisanship contributes to an environment where hate speech flourishes, as political factions engage in a zero-sum game that prioritizes winning over collaboration. Addressing the challenges posed by partisanship and fostering a culture of bipartisanship is critical for advancing democratic norms and reducing the incidence of hate speech in Nigeria (Idowu, 2023).

## Hate Speech: What You Need to Know

Hate speech is defined as any form of communication that disparages individuals or groups based on attributes such as race, ethnicity, religion, or nationality (Council of Europe, 2020). In the Nigerian context, hate speech is particularly concerning due to the country's diverse demographic composition and historical tensions among various ethnic and religious groups.

The proliferation of hate speech undermines social cohesion and poses significant threats to peaceful coexistence. Given Nigeria's history of civil unrest and violence, especially during election periods, the implications of hate speech are manifold. It is crucial to understand the various forms that hate speech may take and the legal frameworks in place to address this phenomenon. The Nigerian government has attempted to curb hate speech through laws and regulations; however, enforcement remains inconsistent, raising concerns about freedom of expression (Kyakilika, 2021).

## The Nature of the Country Nigeria Against the Backdrop of Hate Speech

Nigeria's national character is shaped by its diversity, comprising over 250 distinct ethnic groups and numerous religions. This rich tapestry of identities, while a source of cultural wealth, also presents challenges, particularly in moments of political contention (Osaghae, 2023). The propensity for hate speech to exploit these divisions poses a significant risk to national unity, especially in a context where political identities often overlap with ethnic and religious affiliations.

Political crises in Nigeria have historically been fueled by hate speech, as actors leverage societal tensions to mobilize support and consolidate power (Obi, 2021). The interplay between Nigeria's socio-political landscape and the prevalence of hate speech underscores the urgent need for comprehensive strategies aimed at promoting tolerance and safeguarding democratic values.

## Political Campaigns and Hate Speech

Political campaigns are critical in shaping public sentiment and influencing electoral outcomes. In Nigeria, campaigns often adopt emotionally charged rhetoric as a strategy to engage voters and differentiate candidates. Unfortunately, this trend has frequently included the use of hate speech, which can incite violence and deepen societal divisions (Eyeo, 2023).

During election seasons, candidates may resort to inflammatory language to galvanize their bases and discredit opponents. The consequences of such rhetoric extend beyond electoral politics; they can lead to real-world violence and undermine the integrity of the democratic process. Understanding the dynamics of political campaigns and their relationship with hate speech is vital for developing strategies that promote civil discourse (Adeleke, 2022).

## The Media Vis-A-Vis Hate Speech

The media plays a dual role in the context of hate speech: it can either serve as a platform for such speech or act as a counterforce to it. In Nigeria, the media landscape is diverse, encompassing traditional outlets and an ever-expanding digital space. This allows for the rapid dissemination of information, including hate speech, especially during election periods (Olusola, 2022).

Given the media's significant influence on public opinion, its role in either amplifying or mitigating hate speech is pivotal. While some media outlets may engage in responsible reporting that fosters dialogue and understanding, others may sensationalize hate speech, contributing to a hostile political environment (Okwu, 2021). Examining the media's role in reflecting and shaping political discourse is essential for understanding the broader implications for democracy in Nigeria.

## The Role of the Media in a Democracy

The media is often referred to as the "fourth estate" of democracy, performing critical functions that include informing the public, serving as a watchdog, and facilitating civic engagement. In a democracy, the media's ability to provide accurate information and diverse perspectives is essential for informed decision-making and accountability (Sulaimon, 2020).

In Nigeria, the media landscape has evolved over the years, facing challenges such as censorship, regulatory pressures, and the rise of fake news (Bah, 2021). The media's effectiveness as a democratic institution depends on its independence, the professional integrity of journalists, and its capacity to engage citizens in meaningful dialogue. The intersection of media freedom, hate speech, and democratic norms is a vital area of study that warrants further exploration (Anyanwu, 2022).

## The Media in the Era of Pronounced Hate Speech

The period between 2016 and 2023 has witnessed a notable increase in the prevalence of hate speech, particularly in the context of the political landscape in Nigeria. The rise of social media has facilitated the rapid spread of hate speech, allowing individuals to communicate harmful messages to vast audiences almost instantaneously (Nweze, 2023).

As political entities increasingly harness digital platforms for campaigning, the media environment has become a battleground for competing narratives, often characterized by hostility and division. This development raises critical questions concerning the responsibilities of media practitioners in curbing hate speech while upholding their commitment to freedom of expression (Aremu & Adebayo, 2023). Furthermore, understanding the relationship between the media, hate speech, and democratic integrity is crucial for devising strategies aimed at promoting peaceful coexistence and democratic stability in Nigeria.

## Conclusion

This research has illuminated the intricate relationships between party politics, hate speech, and the media within the Nigerian democratic context, particularly during the period of 2016-2023. Elections, the party system, and partisan dynamics are all key components of Nigeria's democracy, yet they are frequently undermined by the prevalence of hate speech, which exploits existing ethnic and religious divisions. The media, acting as both a platform and a potential counterforce, plays a pivotal role in either amplifying or mitigating the impact of such speech. The rise of social media has further complicated the landscape, enabling the rapid dissemination of harmful messages and posing significant challenges to social cohesion.

## Recommendations

1. There is a need for stricter enforcement of existing laws and regulations against hate speech, while ensuring that such measures do not infringe upon freedom of expression.
2. Media organizations should adopt and enforce ethical guidelines that promote responsible reporting and discourage the sensationalization of hate speech. Media literacy programs are essential to empower citizens to critically evaluate information and resist the influence of harmful narratives. Political parties should commit to promoting civil discourse and refraining from using inflammatory language during campaigns.
3. Fostering bipartisanship and collaboration across political divides is crucial for creating a more inclusive and tolerant political environment, which will ultimately reduce the incidence of hate speech and strengthen Nigeria's democracy.

## References

1. Adeleke, R. (2022). Political campaigns and their social impacts in Nigeria. Journal of Political Science, 14(2), 113-134.
2. Akinwumi, O. (2023). Dynamics of party politics in Nigeria: A reflection on hate speech. African Journal of Political Studies, 9(1), 45-62.
3. Anyanwu, K. (2022). The media's role in promoting democracy in Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Communication, 10(3), 78-94.
4. Aremu, T., & Adebayo, W. (2023). Digital media and the proliferation of hate speech in Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of New Media Studies, 5(1), 20-34.
5. Bah, A. (2021). Media freedom and democracy in Nigeria: Challenges and prospects. African Journal of Media, Communication and Society, 6(2), 85-101.
6. Böth, L., & Hillebrecht, C. (2020). Hate speech and electoral processes: An analysis of Nigeria's political landscape. Global Democracy Journal, 8(1), 102-119.
7. Council of Europe. (2020). Hate speech: A global definition. European Institute for Gender Equality.
8. Diamond, L. (2016). Elections and democracy in a global perspective. Journal of Democracy, 27(1), 22-34.
9. Ekwoaba, P. (2022). The politicization of hate speech in Nigeria: Implications for democracy. African Affairs Journal, 121(485), 156-172.
10. Falola, T. (2021). Nigeria and the politics of identity: Partisanship and hate speech. Journal of African Studies, 45(3), 307-325.
11. Ibeanu, O. (2020). The Nigerian party system: Historical realities and contemporary challenges. Nigerian Journal of Political History, 15(2), 60-77.

12. Idowu, S. (2023). The complexities of Nigerian bipartisanship: Challenges and opportunities. Journal of Political Theory, 18(2), 101-118.

13. Kyakilika, P. (2021). Hate speech legislation in Nigeria: A double-edged sword. Law and Society Review, 54(4), 853-872.

14. Levine, A. (2021). Democratic politics in theory and practice. Political Science Review, 78(1), 15-29.

15. Nweze, T. (2023). Social media as an incubator for hate speech in Nigerian politics. Journal of New Media & Society, 25(2), 214-231.

16. Obi, C. (2021). Understanding the nexus between hate speech and political violence in Nigeria. Critical African Studies, 13(4), 423-439.

17. Okwu, T. (2021). Hate speech in the media: A case study of Nigeria's political discourse. African Journal of Communication Research, 7(1), 50-66.

18. Oladipo, O. (2018). The nature of the Nigerian political landscape: An analysis of party dynamics. African Journal of Political Economy, 12(3), 121-140.

19. Olusola, A. (2022). Media, politics, and power: The Nigerian experience. African Journal of Media Studies, 16(1), 56-74.

20. Oso, L. (2019). Media, democracy, and accountability in Nigeria. Nigerian Communication Journal, 11(2), 89-104.

21. Oyelowo, D. (2022). The interaction of partisanship and hate speech in Nigerian politics. International Journal of African Political Studies, 8(3), 99-115.

22. Sulaimon, M. (2020). Media and democracy: A comparative analysis of Nigeria. Journal of Democratic Studies, 5(2), 224-240.

23. Ugochukwu, C., & Sadiq, D. (2022). The role of media in shaping Nigerian party politics. Nigerian Political Science Review, 10(2), 67-84.